

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM E. SMITH
DISTRICT JUDGE

(Defendant Raymour Radhakrishnan Motion to Proceed
Pro Se)

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: LEE VILKER, AUSA
and JOHN P. McADAMS, AUSA
U.S. Attorney's Office
50 Kennedy Plaza
Providence, RI 02903

FOR THE DEFENDANT
Raymour Radhakrishnan: Olin Thompson, Esq.
Federal Defender's Office
10 Weybosset St.
Suite 300
Providence, RI 02903

Court Reporter: Anne M. Clayton, RPR
One Exchange Terrace
Providence, RI 02903

Proceeding reported and produced by computer-aided
stenography

1 7 AUGUST 2012 -- 2:40 P.M.

2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is the matter
3 of the United States versus Raymour Radhakrishnan.

4 Let's have counsel identify themselves for the
5 record, please.

6 MR. McADAMS: Good afternoon, your Honor. John
7 McAdams and Lee Vilker on behalf of the United States.

8 MR. THOMPSON: Olin Thompson for
9 Mr. Radhakrishnan, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Thank you. I'm in receipt of notice
11 filed by Mr. Thompson expressing the interest of
12 Mr. Radhakrishnan to exercise his right to proceed in
13 this matter pro se; and upon receiving this notice, I
14 decided I wanted to hold a hearing, and then following
15 up on that I received a motion from the Government for
16 a hearing so I note that the Government filed a motion.

17 Mr. Thompson, before I address myself to
18 Mr. Radhakrishnan, do you want to say anything about
19 this notice that you filed?

20 MR. THOMPSON: Not anything more than that this
21 was filed at the request of Mr. Radhakrishnan, and I
22 have spent considerable time discussing it with him.

23 We're also in receipt of the Government's
24 motion. He's received a copy of that and reviewed it,
25 and he's prepared for any questions the Court has for

1 him.

2 THE COURT: All right. Does the Government want
3 to say anything?

4 MR. McADAMS: Your Honor, I just, I guess, ask
5 the Court as an initial matter with Mr. Radhakrishnan
6 is to ask him whether or not it's his desire to proceed
7 representing himself or whether there's some other
8 issue going on that is instigating this, in other
9 words, he's concerned about his relationship with his
10 current counsel, because that would be a different line
11 of inquiry for the Court to explore.

12 Beyond that, the purpose of filing the motion
13 was simply to alert the Court to some of the issues
14 that the Government thinks the Court should address.
15 Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Radhakrishnan, would you
17 please stand up.

18 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: I have a series of questions that I
20 am going to ask you if we proceed with this, but I
21 think Mr. McAdams makes a good point that I should ask
22 you, initially, and I would like to know from you if
23 this notice really is a reflection of your desire to
24 actually represent yourself or if it is rather an
25 expression of some dissatisfaction that you have with

1 the representation you're receiving from Mr. Thompson
2 or your relationship with Mr. Thompson or otherwise a
3 concern about the representation that you're receiving
4 here from your court-appointed counsel, because those
5 are really two different things.

6 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Your Honor, as I expressed
7 to Mr. Thompson, I have been completely satisfied with
8 his representation. This was a decision that I've made
9 on my own voluntarily, and I do plan to proceed pro se,
10 if the Court would allow.

11 THE COURT: All right. Now, I know that you've
12 had a little bit of law school, what, a semester or so
13 or a year or what?

14 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: A semester, your Honor.
15 Didn't even quite finish that.

16 THE COURT: All right. Well, you know enough
17 and you're a smart enough individual to understand that
18 a trial, particularly a criminal trial, is a very
19 complicated matter and it's governed by technical
20 rules, including the Rules of Evidence and the Rules of
21 Criminal Procedure that, if you were to represent
22 yourself, you would be required to follow and you would
23 be held to, just like any other individual, any other
24 person representing -- any other attorney representing
25 a client. You understand that?

1 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I do, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Now, representing yourself is not a
3 matter of telling -- just telling a story, telling your
4 story. You're not going to be able to necessarily do
5 that if that's what you think you're going to be able
6 to do. It's a matter of presenting the evidence and
7 dealing with the evidence that the Government presents
8 in a coherent and sensible way. Do you understand
9 that?

10 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Now, if you fail to follow the rules
12 as you're required to follow them, then you're going to
13 put yourself in jeopardy. You can make and I will tell
14 you I think it's likely that if you represent yourself
15 you will make a mistake, and you will likely make a
16 serious mistake during the course of the trial. And if
17 you do that, it could irrevocably damage your case in
18 front of the jury, and there will likely be nothing
19 that you can do about that once you make that mistake.
20 Do you understand that?

21 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Are you willing to live with the
23 likelihood that you're going to make a mistake and you
24 might hurt yourself?

25 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I don't believe I'm going to

1 be making any mistakes, your Honor, but I understand
2 that in the past that's probably very, very likely.

3 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you something,
4 experienced attorneys who know a lot more than you do
5 about what's going on here make mistakes in trials.
6 And sometimes they make serious mistakes. I can
7 virtually guarantee you you're going to make some
8 mistakes in this trial. I don't know what they're
9 going to be, but I know you're going to make mistakes;
10 and if you do, there's no getting that back. If you're
11 hurt by it, you have to live with it. Do you
12 understand that?

13 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I understand, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Now, you understand that if you
15 begin making mistakes in the midst of the trial, it's
16 not my job to keep you from going down a path that you
17 shouldn't go down. I'm not necessarily going to try to
18 guide you or coach you or keep you from making any kind
19 of mistake. Do you understand that?

20 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: And I will likely appoint
22 Mr. Thompson to be standby counsel for you if you go
23 forward with this, but it may be that he's going to be
24 unable as standby counsel to keep you from doing
25 something that you shouldn't do before you do it. Do

1 you understand that?

2 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: And now, you understand that you
4 will not be able to rely on Mr. Traini and
5 Mr. Lepizzera, who represent Mr. Caramadre, to
6 necessarily do things in the course of the trial like
7 make objections or arguments that will help you out.
8 It may be they don't want to help you out. It could be
9 that they see that the interest of their client is
10 different than your interest. So you might think that
11 they're going to step up and do something like make an
12 objection to something and they're not going to do it,
13 leaving you by yourself, to fend for yourself. Do you
14 understand that?

15 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: You may not know whether they're
17 staying quiet in a situation where you're getting
18 damaged and you don't know enough about the Rules of
19 Evidence to make an objection where you ought to be
20 making objections and they're not helping you. Do you
21 understand that situation could well occur?

22 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Now you understand that if you do
24 this you can't make a complaint later that you didn't
25 have an adequate defense or quality defense or that I

1 should have prevented you from doing this. You're
2 waiving that. Do you understand that?

3 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Now, maybe the Government can help
5 me out on this a little bit. I think I need to advise
6 you about the seriousness of the charges against you,
7 which you know are serious, but I've not sat down and
8 tried to figure out what the potential penalties are
9 for the crimes that you're charged with. Perhaps the
10 Government has.

11 MR. McADAMS: Yes, your Honor, if I may approach
12 the podium.

13 Your Honor, Mr. Radhakrishnan, as opposed to
14 Mr. Caramadre, is charged in Counts I through XXVI with
15 wire fraud offenses. Each count carries a maximum
16 statutory offense of 20 years imprisonment, \$250,000
17 fine, or twice the gross gain or loss that resulted
18 from the offense, as well as three years supervised
19 release and \$100 special assessment. He's also charged
20 in Counts XXVIII, XXIX and XXXI with mail fraud, each
21 of which also carries a 20-year maximum statutory
22 sentence, \$250,000 fine or twice the amount of gain or
23 loss, and three years supervised release, as well as
24 the special assessment.

25 He's charged in Count XXXIII with conspiracy to

1 commit offenses, mail fraud, wire fraud, identity
2 theft, aggravated identity theft. That count carries a
3 maximum statutory offense of five years imprisonment,
4 \$250,000 fine and potentially twice the gain or loss
5 involved in the case, as well as three years supervised
6 release, and a special assessment of \$100.

7 He's charged in Counts XXXV through LIX
8 inclusive with identity fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C.
9 1028(a)(7). And each of those counts carries a maximum
10 statutory penalty of 15 years imprisonment, a \$250,000
11 fine, not more than twice the gain or loss, three years
12 supervised release and the mandatory \$100 special
13 assessment. And he's charged in Counts LX through LXIV
14 inclusive with aggravated identity theft, each of which
15 carries a mandatory minimum of two years imprisonment
16 on top of whatever other sentence he might receive in
17 this case, a \$250,000 fine and not more than twice the
18 loss or gain, one year of supervised release and a
19 mandatory special assessment.

20 And he's charged in Count LXV with money
21 laundering, which carries a maximum offense of ten
22 years imprisonment, \$250,000 fine and not more than
23 twice the gain or loss, as well as three years
24 supervised release and a \$100 mandatory special
25 assessment.

1 Obviously, as well, your Honor, the sentencing
2 guidelines will play a factor in the sentence that the
3 Court determines in the event that Mr. Radhakrishnan is
4 convicted of any of these offenses. I have not done a
5 specific guideline calculation on Mr. Radhakrishnan,
6 but we have looked sort of generally and without, you
7 know, getting into the real fine details of it, I can
8 represent to the Court that if convicted of all of
9 these offenses, his guideline range will be well in
10 excess of 15 years imprisonment at the low end of the
11 guideline range. And of course, those guidelines are
12 not binding on the Court, but that's something that
13 Mr. Radhakrishnan should understand, that if he is
14 convicted the Court will certainly be required to
15 consider those guidelines.

16 THE COURT: How many counts are there with
17 mandatory terms, the two-year mandatory consecutive?

18 MR. McADAMS: There are four counts -- excuse
19 me, five counts of aggravated identity theft, Count LX,
20 LXI, LXII, LXIII and LXIV, each of which carry the
21 two-year mandatory minimum.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. McAdams.

23 Mr. Radhakrishnan, did you listen to Mr. McAdams
24 outline the potential penalties here?

25 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: I think it's fair to say, and I'm
2 sure you've perceived from this that if you were
3 convicted of some or all of these counts you face a
4 potential sentence that is easily well in excess of ten
5 years and could be in a range much higher than that.

6 So we're not dealing here with a minor
7 accusation with a lenient potential penalty associated
8 with it. You understand the seriousness of the
9 potential penalties that could result if you're
10 convicted in this case?

11 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, I do, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Now, I have to just tell you from my
13 experience and from my heart that I've presided over a
14 number of pro se trials. Every single one of them has
15 been a disaster for the defendant. I've never seen a
16 pro se defendant do a good job representing himself. I
17 firmly believe you're making a very serious mistake by
18 doing this. I think you stand the potential of doing
19 serious harm to yourself if you do this.

20 You're a smart, young man. I have no doubt that
21 you have a lot of confidence in your own intelligence
22 and your ability, but I have to tell you that I really
23 believe you're making a mistake and I ask you to please
24 reconsider what you've decided to do here, because my
25 job is to make sure there's a fair trial and to make

1 sure that defendants are treated fairly by the system.
2 I don't have any dog in this fight other than to make
3 sure that you and Mr. Caramadre get a fair trial, and I
4 just feel I've seen enough and I know enough to know
5 when I can see somebody's making a terrible mistake and
6 that's what you're about to do.

7 So I just feel the right thing to do is to try
8 to talk you out of it. I hope Mr. Thompson has tried
9 to talk you out of it. And so if you'd like a little
10 more time to think about it, I'm happy to give you that
11 time. I'm happy to do whatever it takes to try to keep
12 you from making what I think is a terrible mistake
13 here.

14 So would you like to take a little more time?

15 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Your Honor, I appreciate
16 your insight, and I must say that this was not an
17 overnight decision. I have been contemplating it for
18 years now while this investigation has been going on.
19 I specifically made it well aware to the law schools
20 that I applied to that the investigation was going on
21 and that I was going to need a legal education. I
22 unfortunately did not complete that legal education,
23 but I do stand firm that I would like to move forward
24 representing myself.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Let me tell you one other

1 thing. I have a cynical and suspicious side to me, I
2 suppose, that makes me think that there's something
3 else afoot here, which I don't know what it might be,
4 but I'm always suspicious if I see something that
5 doesn't look right. And I want to tell you that if
6 there is something else afoot here that I'm not going
7 to let you derail this trial by any kind of a, you
8 know, ill-conceived strategy to throw it off the rails
9 at some point. I'm not going to let that happen. You
10 don't even have to respond to this, but if that is
11 something that's going on here, you should
12 understand -- everyone should understand that I'm going
13 to be very much on guard about that, and I'm not going
14 to let that happen. So just keep that in mind. For
15 any reason, if this is not just a well-intentioned move
16 on your part that you think is in your best interest,
17 there's something else going on here, I'm not going to
18 let this trial be disrupted or thrown off track. Okay?

19 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Does the Government have
21 anything else you want me to ask? It seems like this
22 Defendant is exercising his right. I can't stop him.

23 MR. McADAMS: Your Honor, I think that the Court
24 has made a very thorough inquiry and ascertained
25 Mr. Radhakrishnan's knowing and voluntary intent to

1 waive his Sixth Amendment rights and the benefits to
2 it. I would simply, I guess, request the Court observe
3 to the Defendant that this trial is on a schedule that
4 has long been made; that he has been in receipt of all
5 the discovery through his counsel at the same point in
6 time that counsel received it and co-defendants
7 received it; that it is anticipated to be a lengthy
8 trial, and that this decision would not be an
9 opportunity to seek a continuance to change the
10 schedule of the trial. Nor if he changes his mind,
11 which certainly the Government will have no objection
12 to if he changes his mind at some or any future point
13 to receive counsel, but it would not be a reason to
14 alter the schedule of the trial and I think the defense
15 should understand that.

16 THE COURT: I think that's fine. I think that's
17 implicit, but you make a good point I should make
18 clear.

19 You understand all that, Mr. Radhakrishnan?

20 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I do, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right. I haven't received
22 anything from Mr. Thompson or Mr. Radhakrishnan that
23 indicates that they're seeking a continuance of
24 anything by virtue of this decision.

25 I am going to appoint Mr. Thompson to be standby

1 counsel for you, and Mr. McAdams' point is also good
2 that I'll tell you that if at any point you change your
3 mind about this, whether it's tomorrow or in the middle
4 of jury selection or right before opening statements, I
5 will allow Mr. Thompson to assume the defense, assume
6 your defense. But I do want it to be clear that I
7 expect that Mr. Thompson will have to be prepared at
8 any given moment to take over without any delay. So
9 if, for example, you do change your mind right before
10 the start of trial, Mr. Thompson will still be expected
11 to go forward with opening statements to the jury on
12 the schedule that we have established, and I'm not
13 going to entertain any continuance requests if you
14 decide to make a change. Do you understand that?

15 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson.

17 MR. THOMPSON: Unfortunately, I do understand
18 that, your Honor. One question that I do have, your
19 Honor, is I know that you appointed me as standby
20 counsel. In different cases that tends to have
21 different meaning, and I have never found a clear
22 definition of what that means other than I need to be
23 prepared to go forward with the trial. But I'd like
24 some clarification on what sort of logistical support
25 your Honor would expect my office to offer to

1 Mr. Radhakrishnan. I do have an investigator who has
2 spent considerable time on this case already. I have
3 let Mr. Radhakrishnan know that if he's representing
4 himself my investigator will not be working full-time
5 on his case, and I will not be working at the same
6 level on his case that I would have otherwise been
7 working. I just cannot do that given the number of
8 cases we have in this district and the number of cases
9 my office has.

10 On the other hand, I am more than happy, if the
11 Court so directs, to give him all the logistical
12 support that we can give him in terms of, for example,
13 serving subpoenas, giving him assistance in legal
14 research, investigation, that kind of thing. I'm happy
15 to do it, but if I could just have some clarification
16 from the Court on what the Court expects.

17 THE COURT: I think you said it well. The only
18 question I have, and I haven't had a chance to look
19 into it, is whether there are any restrictions in terms
20 of what you're guided by in that respect. As long as
21 you're comfortable with using the resources of your
22 office in that way and it's permissible and in
23 accordance with your guidelines, then I'm okay with it.
24 I do want you ready so that you could assume
25 Mr. Radhakrishnan's defense if he has a change of heart

1 here, and I certainly have been through this with
2 defendants who have had a change of heart as trial
3 draws near.

4 MR. THOMPSON: I understand.

5 THE COURT: In terms of other levels of
6 commitment, I expect in your role as standby counsel
7 that you will be present for all official matters
8 before the Court, including conferences, jury
9 selection, going through the questionnaires, which
10 we're going to be doing in a week or so, two weeks,
11 whatever it is, and ultimately jury selection. I think
12 the logistics of how we do these things is going to
13 have to change now that we have a pro se defendant.
14 Probably means that some of the matters which we might
15 have held in the conference room be held in court, open
16 court, or at least in the court, but we'll otherwise
17 try to keep things as normal as possible.

18 MR. THOMPSON: I understand, your Honor. Thank
19 you.

20 THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

21 MR. McADAMS: Your Honor, I think it goes
22 without saying but, since we're here, I remind
23 Mr. Radhakrishnan that in going forward, as opposed to
24 in the past when Mr. Thompson represented him in
25 dealings with the Government, Mr. Thompson would

1 communicate with us on his behalf. And that was a
2 protection for Mr. Radhakrishnan. If he communicates
3 with us in the future going forward, it's entirely
4 possible that he will incriminate himself, and he
5 should understand that, and that that can be used
6 against him potentially in this trial; or if that
7 communication leads to some other type of offense, I
8 won't speculate as to what that would be, but I simply
9 think he should recognize that his status changes when
10 he speaks to us because now he can speak to us as
11 opposed to before. Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Good point.

13 Do you understand that, Mr. Radhakrishnan?

14 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: That same point pertains to what
16 happens during any aspect of the trial process. For
17 example, you might say something in a conference,
18 pretrial conference or during jury selection or during
19 opening statements or while you're examining a witness
20 that could actually be a self-incriminating statement.
21 And if you do that, the Government can take that
22 statement and use it against you. Do you understand
23 that?

24 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: As well as anything you might say to

1 them in a phone call or a meeting that they would
2 otherwise have with counsel. And you may not know that
3 you're doing it. Do you understand that?

4 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I understand, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

6 Mr. Traini, I saw that you wanted to say
7 something.

8 MR. TRAINI: I have a question following up on
9 what Mr. Thompson said to the Court, your Honor, about
10 his status as standby counsel. As you know, there are
11 ethical rules that govern our communication with people
12 who are represented by counsel. So we also have a
13 concern about how we should communicate during trial
14 preparation, for example. Normally, in any
15 multi-defendant case, the lawyers would be talking to
16 each other at least about some things. We're not sure
17 whether we are to be speaking to Mr. Radhakrishnan or
18 to Mr. Thompson or under what circumstances we can do
19 that without violating the ethical rules. So we're
20 just a little concerned about how we handle our
21 communications, Judge.

22 THE COURT: Well, I think, but you or
23 Mr. Thompson can correct me if you disagree, or
24 Mr. McAdams, I think you are free to communicate with
25 Mr. Radhakrishnan as you would with counsel for any

1 other defendant and that he understands and needs to
2 understand that you are communicating with him not as
3 his lawyer, but as Mr. Caramadre's lawyer, and that
4 there may be risks associated with his communicating
5 with you directly, and that if he needs to talk to
6 somebody before either he answers questions or talks to
7 you, he has standby counsel that he can refer to.
8 That's my understanding of the ethical rules, but if
9 you understand it to be different --

10 MR. TRAINI: I don't, your Honor. I just wanted
11 to have that point clarified in the same way that
12 Mr. McAdams wanted to clarify the point that he just
13 spoke to the Court about so that I wanted to make sure
14 that Mr. Radhakrishnan also understands that the
15 communication will now be somewhat different.

16 THE COURT: Right. And I think this brings up
17 another point, which is, Mr. Radhakrishnan, that we
18 don't have a system of hybrid representation here.
19 Okay? So once you cross that line and say, I want to
20 represent myself, I appoint Mr. Thompson as standby
21 counsel, backup counsel, but he's not co-counsel.
22 Okay? So you're not half represented and half pro se.
23 You're pro se. And with that comes all the risks that
24 I told you about. Mr. Thompson can help you. He can
25 assist you, but he's not your associate. He's not

1 going to be assuming the role part-time as your
2 attorney when you think it might be a good idea to have
3 an attorney speaking for you. You can't put him in
4 that position. And you can't put the Government or
5 Mr. Traini or Mr. Lepizzera in that position. They
6 have to know what's permissible to do, and I'm telling
7 them it's permissible to treat you the way you say you
8 want to be treated, which is as a pro se defendant. Do
9 you understand all that?

10 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor, I do.

11 MR. TRAINI: Thank you, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 Counsel come up for a minute, for Mr. Caramadre,
14 come up.

15 (Side bar conference off the record.)

16 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
17 further from anyone?

18 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to
19 see if there was any special provision that could be
20 granted to me to be allowed to bring in my phone as
21 well as my laptop computer during any hearings or as we
22 proceed in this case.

23 THE COURT: Well, your laptop computer I think
24 is fine. What do you need a phone for?

25 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: I use my phone, it's a PDA.

1 It helps with all of my contacts, calendars, and I use
2 it much more than for just contacting people. Doubles
3 as my computer, my personal computer.

4 THE COURT: Can you disable the phone function
5 at least temporarily, like put it on, whatever,
6 airplane mode or something like that?

7 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor, I can do
8 that.

9 THE COURT: All right. I'll allow you to bring
10 it in as long as you can guarantee me that when you're
11 in court, you'll put it on to that mode. This is in
12 your interest. Believe me. I've had trials where
13 attorneys have forgotten to turn off their phones and
14 had them ring during closing arguments, for example.
15 That's not a good thing. You don't want that to
16 happen.

17 So as long as you will do that and use it only
18 for the purpose of calendaring and contacts and so
19 forth, I'm okay with that.

20 Your laptop computer is fine. You're going to
21 need to coordinate with Mr. Traini, with Mr. Lepizzera,
22 the Government, about the exhibits in the case and how
23 they're going to be presented and you may want to load
24 them up on your computer, for example. That's
25 possible. But that's all fine.

1 You're also going to have to familiarize
2 yourself with all the courtroom technology if you
3 intend to participate as an attorney here. You need to
4 schedule some time with the clerk's office so that you
5 get familiar with this technology. You don't want to
6 be fumbling around trying to figure out how to use the
7 projectors and how to hook the laptop up to the system,
8 if you're going to use an electronic presentation
9 system of some sort. These are all the kinds of
10 logistical things you're going to need to learn how to
11 do. Okay?

12 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess until
14 the next time we get together, which is -- what date?

15 MR. McADAMS: Next Friday, the 17th, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: The 17th?

17 MR. McADAMS: Yes. For the jury questionnaire
18 process.

19 THE COURT: And you all received the color-coded
20 questionnaires?

21 MR. McADAMS: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Did you receive that,
23 Mr. Radhakrishnan?

24 MR. RADHAKRISHNAN: Yes, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right. Very good. All right.

We'll see you all on the 17th.

(Court concluded at 3:15 p.m.)

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

1

12

13

14

15

15

7

18

19

30

1

1

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Anne M. Clayton, RPR, do hereby certify
that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate
transcription of my stenographic notes in the
above-entitled case.


/s/ Anne M. Clayton

Anne M. Clayton, RPR

August 24, 2012

Date